Remarks delivered by H.E. Mr. Paul Heinbecker Ambassador and Permanent Representative of Canada to the United Nations at the International Peace Academy Seminar on NEPAD: African Initiative, New Partnership New York, July 16, 2002.

•	Africa was the focal point of the Kananaskis Summit, as Prime Minister Chrétien said it
	would be

- Two factors underlay the decision by G8 Leaders to concentrate on Africa:
 - Africa's need is unique, e.g. as the only continent where poverty is on the rise, and where average life-span lags the region with the next-shortest life-span by over a decade;
 - O In the *New Partnership for Africa's Development* (NEPAD), African Leaders offered a realistic and comprehensive strategy to address that crisis.
 - O They undertook both to involve themselves in its implementation and to hold each other accountable to that end.
- The G8 will remain engaged on Africa (although no item bar the economy figures permanently on the G8 agenda):

- O The Summit hosted by President Chirac in France on 1-3 June 2003 is likely to have significant African content.
- The G8 Africa Action Plan adopted by G8 Leaders at Kananaskis on June 27th is the G8's response to the NEPAD:
 - O It reflects consultation -- but not negotiation, NEPAD having not been negotiated with the G8 -- with African partners throughout the year leading up to Kananaskis, including:
 - (i) consultations by G8 Leaders, e.g. by PM Chrétien during his visit to Africa and by other G8 Leaders during their travels, in hosting meetings in their own countries, and/or on the margins of CHOGM, Monterrey and other international meeting;
 - (ii) five sets of discussions between G8 Personal Representatives for Africa and either the five members of the NEPAD Steering Committee and/or the Personal Representatives of the 15 Members of the NEPAD Implementation Committee of Heads of State and Government i.e. the NEPAD leadership agreed by African Heads of State at Abuja in October; and
 - (iii) ongoing consultations between G8 representatives and key African institutions

such

as the ECA.

- The four leaders of the NEPAD Implementation Committee (Nigeria/Obasanjo,
 Chairman; Algeria/Bouteflika and Senegal/Wade, Vice-Chairmen; and South
 Africa/Mbeki, Convenor) and the UN Secretary-General participated in Kananaskis
 through most of the second day.
 - O First non-G8 Leaders ever to have participated directly in a G8 Summit.
- The G8 Africa Action Plan represents a first step in a new partnership with Africa.
- G8 Leaders have accepted the invitation to partnership extended by African Leaders through the NEPAD initiative.
- The Africa Action Plan complements existing G8 commitments and initiatives, including:
 - i) on debt relief (HIPC),
 - ii) on broader commitments, such as to the Millennium Development Goals and

- iii) on country-led development processes based on Poverty Reduction StrategyPapers.
- The Action Plan contains two parts:
 - O first, a narrative section outlining, in conjunction with the NEPAD, the parameters of the new partnership, including:
 - (i) a reaffirmation of a continent-wide partnership based on human dignity and need, and
 - (ii) enhanced partnership by each G8 partner with selected African countries demonstrably committed to implementing the NEPAD in all its aspects, including governance and economic management;
 - second, specific commitments in those crucial areas in which the G8 is able to add particular value at this time: peace and security; governance; education; health; economic growth; agriculture and water;
 - O The intention is that both the Action Plan and the new partnership will evolve and expand over time.
- The G8 Africa Action Plan imposes no new conditionality.

- It gives emphasis to the principles and arrangements (e.g. peer-review) that are contained in the NEPAD itself, put there by African Leaders for Africa's benefit.
- The Africa Action Plan constitutes a framework for mutually-reinforcing action by the G8 partners.
 - After all, the G8 possess no Secretariat or mechanism for joint action on the ground.
- At Kananaskis, G8 Leaders put forward the financial resources needed to give effect to the commitments contained in the Action Plan specifically, they agreed to direct to Africa half or more of the new ODA commitments they announced at Monterrey, *if* African countries implement the Action Plan:
 - O this stands to add US\$6 billion in ODA for Africa each year as of 2006 (or US\$60 billion over ten years).
 - O This is in addition to existing ODA (the G8 accounts for almost three-quarters of ODA to Africa);
 - O It is also in addition to the much greater private-sector financial resources that

both the Action Plan and the NEPAD seek to encourage.

- O [In Canada's case, the PM established a \$500 million Canada Fund for Africa in the December 2001 budget.
- At Kananaskis, he said that at least half of the 8% annual increase in ODA announced at Monterrey would go to Africa.
- O That amounts, altogether, to some \$6 billion in new and existing ODA for Africa over the next five years].
- G8 Leaders also put in place arrangements to ensure effective implementation of the Action Plan and the commitments contained therein.
 - O The mandates of their Personal Representatives for Africa (the first-ever parallel Sherpa process in the G8) were extended for an additional (and final) year;
 - O Leaders undertook to review progress at the next Summit on the basis of a final report from the Personal Representatives.
 - [Canada is putting in place a government-wide implementation process].

•	At Kai	nanaskis, the Prime Minister announced an ambitious package of national	
	initiati	ves in support of the Africa Action Plan, including:	
	O	opening our market to imports from LDCs tariff- and quota-free, with only the most minor of exceptions (i.e. supply-managed goods); and,	
	•	establishing an African Investment Fund with \$100 million in federal funding.	
•		nportant to remember, though, that the Africa Action Plan is a political response to D as a political initiative.	
•	NEPA	D is not a pledging document.	
•	Neither it nor the G8 Africa Action Plan should be defined primarily in terms of politics.		
	O	Both parties to this new partnership must be reasonable in their expectations:	
		African partners, regarding resources; and G8 partners, regarding the speed at	
		which the very deep economic and political reforms that NEPAD seeks to	

accomplish can be achieved.

•	The A	frican Leaders who were present at Kananaskis welcomed the Action Plan as a	
	strong	basis for the new partnership.	
•	If NEI	PAD is implemented, we believe that resources will flow.	
•	The ve	ery purpose of the NEPAD is to put in place the conditions necessary to ensure that	
	Africa	receives a greater share of financial resources, public and – more importantly, in	
	terms	of amount – private.	
	The G	8 Africa Action Plan is, of course binding on G8 countries only, but we invite	
•	The G8 Africa Action Plan is, of course, binding on G8 countries only, but we invite		
	cooper	ration by other donors.	
	•	Representatives of major non-G8 donors to Africa were invited to participate in	
		the evolving G8-NEPAD dialogue;	
	•	G8 Leaders committed themselves to continuing the G8-NEPAD dialogue.	
	\circ	I believe that non CO denote will be invited to remain an accord	
	\mathbf{O}	I believe that non-G8 donors will be invited to remain engaged.	